(a) When we compare the results of experiments 1 and 2, we see that when [NO] doubles, the rate quadruples, so the reaction is second order with respect to NO.

When we compare the results of experiments 1 and 3, we see that when $[Br_2]$ doubles, the rate doubles, so the reaction is first order with respect to Br_2 .

Rate = $k[NO]^2[Br_2]$

(b) Use the values from experiment 1, just because they look the simplest.

$$k = \frac{rate}{[\text{NO}]^2[\text{Br}_2]} = \frac{9.6 \times 10^{-2} M/\text{sec}}{(0.02)^3 M^3} = 1 \times 10^4 M^{-2} \text{sec}^{-1}$$

(c) rate of reaction $=\frac{1}{2} \times \frac{\Delta \text{NOBr}}{\Delta \text{time}} = \frac{1}{2} (9.6 \times 10^{-2} M/\text{sec}) = 4.8 \times 10^{-2} M/\text{sec}$

(d) Choice (I) agrees with the rate law.

 $NO + NO \leftrightarrow N_2O_2$ (fast) $N_2O_2 + Br_2 \rightarrow 2 NOBr$ (slow)

The slow step is the rate-determining step, with the following rate law:

Rate = $k[N_2O_2][Br_2]$

We can replace the intermediate (N_2O_2) by assuming that the first step reaches equilibrium instantaneously and remembering that at equilibrium, the rates of the forward and reverse reactions are equal.

$$k_f [NO]^2 = k_r [N_2O_2]$$

Now solve for $[N_2O_2]$.

$$[N_2O_2] = \frac{k_f}{k_r} [NO]^2$$

Now we can substitute the rate law for the rate determining step.

Rate =
$$k \frac{k_f}{k_r} [\text{NO}]^2 [\text{Br}_2]$$

This matches the experimentally determined rate law. By the way, the mechanism in choice (II) would have a rate law of Rate = $k[Br_2]$.